Annex 10: Evaluation of the Workshop by Participants

Total number of respondents: 14

Base on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the minimum (least satisfy) and 5 the maximum (most satisfy).
If the question does not apply to the respondents, or he/she does not have information needed to answer it, He/she could choose “no opinion” option.

The numbers of respondents who respond to each scale are shown under each rankings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>% of most satisfying</th>
<th>% of least satisfying</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Quality of information you received before the activity.</td>
<td>1 0 3 4 6 0</td>
<td>42.86%</td>
<td>7.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Quality of logistic information (transportation to OVTA, etc.)</td>
<td>0 0 0 6 7 1</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Quality of the residential hall and accommodations.</td>
<td>0 0 2 2 10 0</td>
<td>71.43%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Clarity of the workshop/meeting objectives.</td>
<td>0 0 1 4 9 0</td>
<td>64.29%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Extent to which the contents covered your expectation.</td>
<td>0 0 2 6 6 0</td>
<td>42.86%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Extent to which the contents were correctly sequenced.</td>
<td>0 1 3 4 5 1</td>
<td>35.71%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Quality of the materials/medias used during the workshop/meeting.</td>
<td>0 0 0 5 9 0</td>
<td>64.29%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Overall satisfaction of the learning methods.</td>
<td>0 0 0 7 7 0</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Clarity of the lectures by resource persons.</td>
<td>0 0 0 5 9 0</td>
<td>64.29%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Overall quality of the resource persons</td>
<td>0 0 0 4 10 0</td>
<td>71.43%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Extent to which you were satisfied with the working relations</td>
<td>0 1 0 4 9 0</td>
<td>64.29%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

within the participants group.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>% of most satisfying*</th>
<th>% of least satisfying**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Usefulness of the sharing of experience, ideas and knowledge with other participants.</td>
<td>0 1 1 6 6 0</td>
<td>42.86%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Overall quality of the study tour.</td>
<td>0 2 0 7 4 1</td>
<td>28.57%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Extent to which the activity was well organized.</td>
<td>0 0 0 5 9 0</td>
<td>64.29%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. The quality of the Secretariat (supporting of administrative matters).</td>
<td>0 0 0 2 12 0</td>
<td>85.71%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Relevance of the activity to your current functions.</td>
<td>0 0 0 7 7 0</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Relevance of the activity to the needs of your organization.</td>
<td>0 0 0 6 8 0</td>
<td>57.14%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* % of most satisfying is the number of respondents who gave “5” ranking divided by total number of respondents.

** % of least satisfying is the number of respondents who gave “1” ranking divided by total number of respondents.
EVALUATION SUMMARY

Additional comments made by respondents (participants):

1) Curriculum
   - Should be longer
   - Very good
   - Would like to see case study and on-the-spot investigation.
   - Need more detail
   - Should suit the length of time of training programme for full discussion of subject
   - Should add some curriculum such as CAD/CAM
   - The contents of workshop is good
   - Well design
   - This curriculum is very important and useful for development of our VET
   - Effective and efficient for its purpose

2) Resource person
   - Calibre of resource person has been very high
   - Friendly and active
   - Well organized
   - Selection is excellent
   - The knowledge of resource persons is excellent
   - Very good, excellent
   - Is available or encourage individual consultations after sessions
   - Please speak more slowly and loudly

3) Facilities/medias
   - Good, very good
   - Video camera must be used
   - Excellent

4) Accommodation
   - Accommodation has been first class, clean, tiny and properly located
   - Should have refrigerator in each room
   - Good
   - Excellent

5) Selection of participants
   - Very good
   - More widely
   - Should have similar level of work experience as much as possible
   - Very good and very active to give contribution on seminar
   - Excellent
Other comments for future improvement:

- Materials could be supplied to participants in advance, which would help them in interacting with their organization before attending the workshop.
- Materials if supplied on diskette would be easier to carry.
- Study tour gives first hand information and so must be integrated into the courses.
- I think the presence of observers have been encouraging and also contributed positively during the workshop.
- I would like to suggest that in the future ILO/APSDEP should conduct some workshop for us.
- The workshop should conduct at the technical level (instructors, technical officers) because they can share experience from other participants. Furthermore, they can learn from the workshop of the update information.
- Would like to have the opportunity to see rural training centres. Two additional days would be good.
- This seminar is very short, normally it is longer than this, about 2 weeks
- Please provide references and discussion items in advance.